Mr. SPEAKER.—I said at the very beginning that I will call for a report from the authorities. There is nothing new that is being added. So far as giving protection is concerned, I can only say that the rules are observed. If any wrong, incorrect information is published in the papers, it is a matter for the paper to see what it should do and it is for the Member to see that steps should be taken. I cannot control news papers. The Hon'ble Member is committing the same offence which, I said, should not be committed. I want to know whether it has been brought to my notice. I have already said that as a young man, he must have control over his mind. Simply because something rushes to his mind, he should not get up and say something. I have already called for information. I would say that I would do all that is possible for me to protect the Members. If papers choose to publish wrong information, it is a reflection upon them and not an infringement of the rules. If it is anything which has happened within the precincts of the House, I can go into it and take action against the representative of that paper who is in the Press Gallery. But if it is anything which has happened outside the House, I have no control; it is left to the discretion and propriety of the paper concerned.

Thirty-Second Report of the Business Advisory Committee.

Sri A. R. PANCHAGAVI.—Sir, I beg to present the Thirty-Second Report of the Business Advisory Committee.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I trust the House agrees to it.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA (Sira).—Sir, day before yesterday, there was so much commotion about it. On that day, there were heated exchanges between the Speaker and one of the Members.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Is the Member belonging to any party or group; if so, his representation has been there. After all, we must built up certain conventions.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Is it the privilege of a member who belongs to a group or party alone to have representation and not others ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Representation is different from trying to dissent from an agreement arrived at.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—I am not questioning the Business Advisory Committee's Report.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Member is putting forth profuse words which I cannot understand.

†ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ (ತೀರ್ಥಹಳ್ಳಿ).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಈಗ ತಾನೆ ಈ ಸಭೆಯು ಮುಂದೆ ಮಂಡಿಸಿರುವ ಕಾರ್ಯಕಲಾಪಗಳ ಸಲಹಾಸಮಿತಿಯ 32ನೇ ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ನೋಡಿ ನನಗೆ ಬಹಳ ಆಶ್ಚರ್ಯವಾಯಿತು. ಏಪ್ರಿಲ್ ತಿಂಗಳು 3ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿಗೆ ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಅಧಿವೇಶನ ಮುಗಿಯು

(ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲ ಗೌಡ)

ತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ನಮಗೆ ತಾತ್ಕಾಲಿಕವಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿಸಲಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಆ ಮೇಲೆ ಏಪ್ರಿಲ್ ತಿಂಗಳು 17ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿಗೆ ಮುಗಿಯುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಆದರೆ ಈಗ ಕೊನೆಯ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಅತಿ ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದ 3 ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು ಚರ್ಚೆಮಾಡಿ ಈ ಅಧಿವೇಶನವನ್ನು 24ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿಗೆ ಮುಗಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಭೂಸುಧಾರಣಾ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿ ಮಸೂದೆಯನ್ನು ಚರ್ಚೆಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕೇವಲ 6 ಗಂಟೆ 30 ನಿಮಿಷಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಮೇಲಾಗಿ ಖಾಸಗೀ ನಿರ್ಣಯಗಳನ್ನು ಚರ್ಚೆಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೋಸ್ಕರ ಗೊತ್ತುಮಾಡಿದ್ದ ದಿವಸಗಳನ್ನು ಪೂರ್ತಿಯಾಗಿ ಈ ಅಧಿವೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಿಕೊಂಡ ಹಾಗೆ ನನಗೆ ಕಂಡು ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ಖಾಸಗೀ ನಿರ್ಣಯಗಳಿಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಗೊತ್ತುಮಾಡಿದ್ದ ದಿವಸಗಳನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಕಲಾಪಗಳಿಗೆ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ತಾವು ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳನ್ನು ಗಮನದಲ್ಲಿಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡು ಈ ಕಾರ್ಯಕಲಾಪಗಳನ್ನು ನಿರ್ದೇಶನ ಮಾಡುವುದು ಉಚಿತ ಎಂದು ನನಗನ್ನಿಸುತ್ತದೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Member is entirely wrong in thinking that I am ignoring the wishes of the Members. The Business Advisory Committee is composed of Members of this House. Does the Hon'ble Member think that the committee is not representing the Members or am I dictating? Why does the Business Advisory Committee at all exist? The committee discusses and arrives at an arrangement. Then, the Members cannot say that it is not a representative report. Individual Members can say that it is not unanimous; they can say that they are not in the committee and so wish to differ. But I cannot understand a Member who belongs to a party or group saying so. I am really unable to understand whether we are building up any traditions of discipline. If a Member has a particular opinion, he must tell his leader about it so that he can represent it in the Committee. But once the Committee goes into the matter and presents a report before the House, the House may reject it; I do not mind it. But to say that the report does not reflect the opinion of the Members is something which I really cannot understand. In fact, I received a letter from the Leader of the Opposition saying that 2 days may be allotted for the Land Reforms Bill, 2 days for the Survey and re-settlement Report and 4 days for the Panchayat Raj Bill. If such a request comes, I have to place it before the committee and the Members of the committee were also of the same opinion and they have agreed. The only course open to me now is to put it to the vote of the House and if the House passes it, it is binding on the House.

Sri V. M. DEO.—It is really difficult for me to understand why you think like that.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Because of the observations made by thee Members.

Sri V. M. DEO.—Sir, I request you to throw your weight on our side If these is no regard whatsoever for ideas, persons and things like that, I do not know what will happen. I am saying this with deep feelings. I do not know whether you are able to understand me or not.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am sorry, I have not been able to understand the Hon'ble Member. May I take it that the House agree with the report?

Sri V. M. DEO.—Please do not do like that.

ಶ್ರೀ ಗೋಪಾಲ ಸಾಲೆನ್ನ (ಮೂಡಬಿದ್ರೆ).—ಬಿಜೆನೆಸ್ ಅಡ್ವೈಜರಿ ಕಮಿಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ವಿಷಯ ಗಳನ್ನು ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚೆ ಸದನ್ಯರ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳನ್ನು ಕೇಳಬೇಕು.

Mr. SPEAKER.—This Question has to be addressed by the Hon ble Member to his leader. If he does not do it, I will recall his leader from the Business Advisory Committee. Otherwise, I will have to appoint 208 Members as the Members of the Business Advisory Committee. What should be done ? Will Sri Gopala Salenna, think before he speaks ? He is unkind to me.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ತಾವು ತಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಆದರೆ ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದ ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಮುಗಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮಾನಸಿಕವಾಗಿ ಏನು ಸಿದ್ಧತೆ ಆಗಬೇಕು ಅನ್ನುವುದು ನಮಗೆ ಪೂರ್ವಭಾವಿಯಾಗಿ ಗೊತ್ತಿರಬೇಕು. ಅದೇ ಆಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಇವತ್ತು ಕೊನೆಯದಾಗಿ ನಲಹಾ ಸಮಿತಿ ವರದಿ ಮಾಡುವಾಗ, ಈ 3 ವಿಷಯಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾದ್ದು ಬಹಳ ಅಗತ್ಯವಿದೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Should I ask the member before I place any report? If his mind is not tuned, if his mind is not ready, what can I do ? I have to go by the wishes of the House. The House has accepted the report. After that, if he gets up and says the mind is not tuned—he does not even say whose mind is not tuned ; I have to take it his mind is not tuned and if his mind is not tuned—what can I do ? I have to go by the opinion of the House. What does he want me to do? There must be collective thinking and a final decision which must be adhered to by all.

SECRETARY.—I beg to lay on the Table the 9th list of Unstarred Questions and Answers.

UNSTARRED QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

Strictures passed against Government or its Officers, by the High Court.

Q.—8. **Sri C. R. RANGE GOWDA** (Magadi).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the number of cases in which the High Court of Mysore has passed strictures against Government or its Officers since 1959 ;

(*b*) the action taken by Government against those Officers on whom these strictures have been passed ?

A.—**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA** (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) 7.

(*b*) As detailed below :—

1 In the case of the strictures passed in Cr. Appeal No. 91/62. the Investigating Officer was awarded a reprimand.